

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,694	1	12/16/2003	. Shigetaka Hamada	10517/198	3515
23838	7590	11/22/2006		EXAMINER	
		YON LLP	BERHANU, SAMUEL		
	1500 K STREET N.W. SUITE 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHI	NGTON, E	OC 20005		2838	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,694	HAMADA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel Berhanu	2838		

	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment tice of Appeal (with appeal fee	, affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set to ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f).	ailing date of the final reject THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. FILED WITHIN
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailin	ount of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Offiginal of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a b	rief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see		00000
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached Notice of Nor	Compliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		-Compliant Amendment	(FIOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	· ———	ate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		will be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	·		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under a	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims aft	er entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
23 Salah <u>200 Salah dalah Silab</u> i.		1	
	SUF	KARL EASTHON ERVISORY PATENT I	M EXAMINER
	30.		

Continuation of 13. Other: Continuation of 13. Other: The add limitaions on Claims create new issues, these new combinations have never been considered..